EAST GOSHEN TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING 1580 PAOLI PIKE

September 28, 2010 – 7:00pm Final Approved Minutes

<u>Present</u>: Chairman Senya D. Isayeff, Vice-Chairman Thom Clapper, and Supervisors Carmen Battavio, Marty Shane and Don McConathy. Also present were Township Manager Rick Smith, Public Works Director Mark Miller, Zoning Officer Mark Gordon, Linda Gordon (TAG), Phyllis Marron (Park & Rec), Ginnie Newlin (Conservancy Board), and Kathryn Yahraes (Historical Commission).

Call to Order & Pledge of Allegiance

Senya called the meeting to order at 7:00pm. He asked Mark Miller to lead everyone in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Moment of Silence

Carmen called for a moment of silence to honor the men and women serving their country in the armed forces, and their families.

Recording of Meeting

No one indicated that they planned to record the meeting.

Public Comment on Non-Agenda Items

None.

Emergency Access for Cornwallis Drive & Wineberry Lane

Mark Gordon gave a PowerPoint presentation on an emergency access for Cornwallis Drive and Wineberry Lane, as proposed by Mr. Vincent Angelini in conjunction with his subdivision plan. Mr. Angelini was present in the audience.

Mark said that Mr. Angelini concurred on the facts of the presentation, and the Planning Commission has reviewed the plan and likes it.

Don asked if school buses go down Wineberry Lane and Mark said no.

Don expressed concern that the access way as proposed is too open and perhaps some fencing would be needed. Mark Gordon said some landscaping could also be used to help block it off.

Marty had a question about the zoning relief being asked for by Mr. Angelini, and the basis for that request. Mark said Mr. Angelini's attorney would have to answer that question.

Carmen asked about a longstanding drainage issue on Wineberry Lane. Mark Gordon agreed there is a problem with drainage and standing water. Mark Miller said he hoped the Township would ask Mr. Angelini to address that issue if the plan goes forward.

Thom asked where an Aqua connection could be made for this neighborhood. Rick said it would have to be on Paoli Pike near Bentley's. Mark Gordon said due to the distance, an Aqua connection is not feasible for this project.

Mark Gordon mentioned that beginning January 1, 2011, sprinklers are required for new construction.

Senya said it is noble of Mr. Angelini to offer this emergency access. He asked if Mr. Angelini would still consider offering it in the event his subdivision application is turned down by the Township. Mr. Angelini said he had not discussed that possibility with his attorney.

Public Comment: Helen Shoemaker, Cornwallis Drive – Commented about a letter from Ralph Brown to Mark Gordon dated August 17 regarding a 100-ft ladder truck. She then asked who owns the cherry tree that will have to come down and Mark Gordon said Mr. Angelini owns it.

Public Comment: Joseph Mussari, Wineberry Lane – Read aloud a prepared statement (copy attached) in opposition to the emergency access. He offered to send photographs to the Board of Supervisors showing a hook & ladder truck trying to navigate Wineberry Lane if they wished to see them. Senya told Mr. Mussari that the Board would not be making any decisions this evening; the purpose of the presentation was merely to get information about the plan. He added that the Township has not endorsed any plan at this point.

Public Comment: Tina Mussari, Wineberry Lane – Read aloud a prepared statement (copy attached) in opposition to the emergency access. Mrs. Mussari then read a statement written by Nancy Fay of Cornwallis Drive, who was unable to be present. Ms. Fay is also opposed to the emergency access.

Public Comment: Mike Juskalian, Cornwallis Drive – Said this appears to be a property development issue rather than an emergency access easement being installed for the benefit of residents. He asked if there has ever been an instance where emergency vehicles could not access the neighborhood. He expressed concern for the safety of his daughters who walk along the road. He encouraged the Supervisors to carefully consider whether this is really a just "noble gesture" on the part of Mr. Angelini, or if it has more to do with Mr. Angelini's desire to get approval to develop his property.

Public Comment: Cindy Tinsman, Colonial Lane – Asked why an emergency access was being proposed for this location instead of having one go through Hershey Mill.

Carmen told the residents that he has lived for 30 years on Williams Way in Ashbridge Farms, and has never had an emergency situation until this summer. Storm damage caused the road to be blocked and the neighborhood lost power for four days. He said no one likes to think they will need an emergency access, but you never know what is going to happen.

Public Comment: Donna Zingani, King George Court – Said if there is an emergency the residents won't be permitted to use the emergency access, since it would be designated for emergency personnel only. Carmen said it is his personal opinion that in the event of a lengthy

situation (such as he experienced), the residents might be warranted to use the emergency access. That is something that could be written into the conditions imposed upon Mr. Angelini should his application progress.

Public Comment: Anne Davis, Wineberry Lane – Asked who will prevent teenage drivers from using the emergency access. She was told by the Township that the policing of its use would be left up to the residents, and that there would be no gate.

Public Comment: Barbara Meserve, Wineberry Lane – Pointed out that in the event of an emergency, it's probable that more than one street in the neighborhood will be affected. She asked that the Board carefully consider whether this emergency access will do what they believe it will do.

Public Comment: Colleen Wahn, Cornwallis Drive – Said her husband has lived on Cornwallis Drive since 1978 and he cannot remember an emergency when help could not get through. She asked if the access road would be plowed in the winter, and if so by whom. She also wanted to know who will control access to the emergency access when the two homeowners with control are not at home. She said she and her husband had to jump through hoops when they built their house, and wondered why Mr. Angelini does not have to follow the same rules as everybody else. She said she and her husband were told that theirs would be the last flag lot in the neighborhood, which has not been the case.

Public Comment: Marjorie Tinsman, Colonial Lane – Said she has lived in the neighborhood for 60 years and is opposed to the emergency access. She said there is too much speeding in the neighborhood as it is.

Public Comment: Jim Schorn, Cornwallis Drive – Asked if the proposed emergency access would be wide enough for two cars to pass. Rick Smith said the width has not been determined yet. Mr. Schorn then asked about the possibility of an Aqua connection for the neighborhood. Rick said Aqua will only make a connection if it makes financial sense for them to do so.

Public Comment: Tina Mussari, Wineberry Lane – Had a question about the size of the lots involved in Mr. Angelini's application. Mark Gordon told her they are all larger than one acre.

Public Comment: John Angelini – Said he will live on either Lot #1 or Lot #2 and he understands everyone's concerns. Based on the comments made this evening, he has to believe an emergency access would never be used, but the point is, it would be available.

Don made a comment about no one along Cornwallis Drive being granted a variance before. He noted that the Chester County Planning Commission has long recommended that no more homes be built on Cornwallis Drive, and said he agreed with them.

Public Comment: Helen Shoemaker, Cornwallis Drive — Said the emergency easement will be of no benefit to the residents despite what Carmen Battavio said earlier. Furthermore, there will be no advantage to the Township either. The only one who will benefit will be Mr. Angelini. She said he knew the rules going in and he should have to abide by them like everyone else.

Public Comment: Sylvia Angelini – Said she owns a property on Wineberry Lane but lives on Cornwallis Drive. She had a question on what street the new homes will access when they are built.

Marty stated that the emergency access issue came up because the Township is always concerned about health and welfare issues. He mentioned former Supervisor Joe McDonough who would never approve any development on Cornwallis Drive because he thought the neighborhood was too dense with no emergency access. Marty said he is trouble that the proposed access is linked to the development. He said he found it fascinating that no residents seem interested in having an emergency access. He encouraged the residents to let the Planning Commission know of their feelings either way on this matter to help them in their decision-making process should Mr. Angelini decide to move forward with this project.

Senya said the Board was only gathering information this evening, not rendering a decision. He said it's up to Mr. Angelini whether or not he wishes to proceed after hearing the comments this evening.

Public Comment: Vincent Angelini, Jr. – Asked if this project will raise taxes for the residents. Senya told him no. The expenses for the emergency access would be borne by the property owners.

Carmen moved to recommend that Mr. Angelini is entitled to Lots #1 and #3, but not Lot #2. There was no second to the motion.

Senya asked Mark Gordon to talk about the Planning Commission's role in this process. Mark said the Planning Commission saw this application as a sketch plan. They believed it would be a benefit to the community and were in favor of the plan. Senya noted that the Planning Commission made no recommendation to the Board, and the next step is up to the applicant, Mr. Angelini.

Carmen said if there are residents in favor of the emergency access going into their neighborhood, he would like them to put together a petition and present it to the Township. He said although he personally disagrees with the residents who do not want a second access to their neighborhood, it's up to them.

Marty recommended that any resident who is interested in this matter or other Township matters to sign up on the webpage for Constant Contact in order to stay informed.

Public Comment: Cindy Tinsman, Colonial Lane – Thanked the Board for taking the time to listen to the residents.

Chairman's Report

Senya announced that the CRC Watersheds Association is planning to recognize Ginnie Newlin at their 6th Annual Awards Dinner on November 4. Ginnie is a Board member of the CRC and a member of the East Goshen Conservancy Board. She will be honored for her contributions in preserving natural areas and wildlife resources.

Senya announced that Carmen Battavio has recently been appointed to the Board of the Chester County Solid Waste Authority (CCSWA). Carmen gave a brief summary of the activities of the CCSWA.

Street Paving Program

Don said he requested a report from Mark Miller on the street paving program to see if there is an opportunity to cut costs on paving. Mark said the Public Works Department is delaying paving projects where possible but he does not recommend reducing the paving budget. Marty said that road maintenance is a very important service the Township provides to the residents and he would like to stay at a 17½ -year paving cycle. Carmen agreed and said he has noticed other municipalities cutting back too far on their paving, which won't save money in the long run. Don said he is satisfied that Mark and the Public Works Department are doing the best job possible.

Mark will provide a 2011 paving schedule with costs to the Board. Marty asked him to provide a schedule for the next five years if possible for budgeting purposes.

Public Comment: Linda Gordon, Line Road – Said Mark Miller and his crew do a wonderful job. She said well-paved, maintained roads give a good impression of a community. She recently traveled to an upscale area in another state and noticed that the roads were not well taken care of, and it detracted from the community's appearance.

Public Comment: Ginnie Newlin, Devon Lane – Said she is in favor of keeping the roads in good repair. She recently damaged her car when she hit a large pothole in another Township.

Township Services List

Senya had Rick summarize his September 13 memo to the Board on the services the Township provides to the residents. Marty said the point is to figure out the cost of providing the various functions/activities. He said calling them "services" might have been a poor choice of words. He said it will not be worth the staff's time to have them cost out every single thing. Don suggested that Deb Beury figure out the costs by the end of the first quarter of 2011. She can use 2010 numbers to make her calculations, and the project will not impact the 2011 budget.

Dogs at Township Events

The Board again discussed this matter of adopting an ordinance banning dogs and other pets (except service dogs) from all Township-sponsored activities and events. (At the September 21 meeting, the Board had a tie vote and no action was taken.) The Board had a copy of the September 2 Park & Rec Board minutes which showed that they voted unanimously to recommend the Board adopt the ordinance.

Marty said he had reconsidered the matter since the September 21 meeting and decided to change his vote. After thinking over the matter some more and after hearing the public comments last week, he is no longer in favor of banning dogs from Township events, in part because there have been no incidents to date.

Marty moved to take no action on the recommendation of the Park & Rec Board. Don seconded the motion. Marty then said he would like to add to the motion that dogs should be prohibited from the park during the annual fireworks show. Don did not second the amendment.

Carmen said this is a instance of when more government intervention in citizens' lives is not a good idea. The Public Works crew is always on hand at the events, and when necessary they make sure that dogs don't get out of line. Since there have been no incidents involving dogs, he is opposed to banning them.

Thom said he is in favor of banning dogs from events. Dogs do not belong at public events, and he does not want to wait for an incident to occur before the Board takes action.

Public Comment: Joe Buonnano, Herron Lane – Said he is opposed to banning dogs. He has two dogs. More people have come up to greet them when he takes them out than have expressed any fear around them.

Public Comment: Linda Gordon, Line Road – Reiterated her comments from the September 21 meeting. She is opposed to the ban and does not know how the Township would enforce it.

Public Comment: Ginnie Newlin, Devon Lane – Said she is opposed to the ban.

Carmen was opposed to Marty's amendment to the motion; he preferred the original motion to stand as-is. The Board agreed to vote on the original motion. There was no further discussion or public comment. The Board voted 4:1 and passed the original motion. (Thom was opposed).

Resolution 10-47, Establishing the Order of Business for Board of Supervisors Meetings
Don said this resolution makes a mountain out of a molehill. No resolution is needed. Marty said if there is an existing resolution on the books that the Board is changing (by re-ordering the agenda items), it needs to be amended by resolution.

Senya made one editorial change to the resolution.

Marty moved to adopt Resolution 10-47 as amended. Carmen seconded the motion. There was no further discussion and no public comment. The Board voted 4:1 and the motion passed. (Don was opposed).

Grant for Hershey Mill Dam

Rick said the \$15,000 grant from the PA Department of Community and Economic Development was obtained through the assistance of Senator Andy Dinniman's office. The Township can use the funds as a partial reimbursement for the engineering costs already incurred. Marty said he spoke with Senator Dinniman's office and they are in agreement with the Township doing just that.

Marty moved to authorize the Chairman to execute the \$15,000 grant agreement with the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development. The money will be applied toward engineering costs for the Hershey Mill Dam. Thom seconded the motion.

Public Comment: Joe Buonnano, Herron Lane – Asked if the Township asked for \$15,000 in their grant application. Rick said the Township asked for \$15,000 because that was the amount being offered as a grant.

There was no further discussion or public comment. The Board voted unanimously to approve the motion.

ABC Appreciation Event

Thom suggested the Township ask its larger vendors to help sponsor this event. Carmen said that was a good idea to consider for next year, but it's too late to ask for sponsorships for this year.

Carmen would like to invite the Chester County Commissioners since they have served East Goshen well over the years. Senya and Marty agreed this would be a good idea. The Board also agreed to invite the Westtown and West Goshen Supervisors, as well as the State Representative and the State Senator.

Marty moved to invite the Chester County Commissioners, the Westtown Supervisors, the West Goshen Supervisors, the State Representative and the State Senator to the 2010 ABC Appreciation Event. Carmen seconded the motion.

Don suggested the staff go through the invitee list and make sure that only current ABC members as well as ABC members that served part-time in 2010 are invited, since there have been some changes. In addition, the staff needs to make sure that people's names are spelled correctly. Don recommended that the Malvern Library representative be invited as well as the Constable.

Public Comment: Kathryn Yahraes, Vista Drive – Noted that the Malvern Library Representative (Judy Schafer) is probably already listed since she is now on the Historical Commission.

There was no further discussion or public comment. The Board voted unanimously to approve the motion.

Carmen said he would like to increase the budget for this event in order to have a prize drawing for Township employees. The prize will be one-half day paid time off. Carmen said he would like to draw 7 or 8 names for this prize.

Don moved to increase the allocated budget for the ABC Appreciation Event from \$4,000 to \$5,000 per Carmen's request. Marty seconded the motion.

There was no further discussion or public comment. The Board voted 4:1 to pass the motion (Thom was opposed).

Thom suggested having the raffle at the Employee Picnic scheduled for October 1 instead of at the holiday event. The Board agreed this would be a good idea, since only Township employees will be attending the picnic. The Board agreed to give out 5 prizes.

Review of Minutes

The Board reviewed and corrected the draft minutes of September 21. Don moved to approve the minutes as corrected. Marty seconded the motion. There was no discussion or public comment. The Board voted unanimously to approve the motion.

Treasurer's Report & Expenditure Register Report

See attached Treasurer's Report for September 23, 2010.

The Board reviewed the Treasurer's Report and the current invoices. Thom moved to accept the Treasurer's Report of September 23 and the Expenditure Register Report as recommended by the Treasurer, to accept the receipts and to authorize payment of the invoices just reviewed. Don seconded the motion. There was no further discussion and no public comment. The Board voted unanimously to approve the motion.

August 2010 Financial Report

The Board reviewed this report and Marty provided a summary. Marty recommended that future variance reports only show items over \$5,000. The other Supervisors were agreeable to that idea.

The Board discussed some budget issues. Senya reported that there has been a significant drop in the Township's projected deficit for 2010, but noted that real estate sales continue to trend downward.

Action List

<u>Public Works Service Outsourcing</u> – Rick presented a new cost analysis for snow plowing as requested by the Board at the September 21 meeting. Marty said it's clear to him that it's more economical for the Township to continue to do the plowing, and in addition when the Township does the work the quality is assured. Marty moved to reject all bids received for snow plowing. Don seconded the motion. There was no further discussion and no public comment. The Board voted unanimously to pass the motion.

Monthly Financial Reports – The Board had no comments.

Correspondence & Reports of Interest

Senya reported that the West Chester Men's Service Club will be holding a Veteran's Day banquet on November 11 at the Downingtown Country Club. The proceeds will benefit the Chester County Hall of Heroes Memorial Fund.

Meetings & Dates of Importance

Senya noted the upcoming meetings as listed in the agenda.

Public Comment: Kathryn Yahraes, Vista Drive – Suggested the Township consider holding an event in 2011 at the Veteran's Pavilion in the park to mark either Memorial Day or Veteran's Day.

Public Comment Period

None.

Adjournment

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 10:00pm.

Anne Meddings Recording Secretary Attachments:

- Statements by residents Joseph Mussari, Tina Mussari, and Nancy Fay
- Treasurer's Report

John Mussari 9-28-10

Easement 2010

Notice of proposal: We met with Rich Smith to see the drawing. He informed us of his approval of this and disregarded of the impact on us.

(Wineberry Lane)

Planning Commission: meeting-proposal presented by Angelini's representative. No homeowners from Cornwallis Dr. At this meeting we questioned the thinking behind this and the SAFETY to the residence of Wineberry Ln under this proposal?

A twp. official made a statement to my wife extolling the virtue of the proposal if her, my wife's mother, lived on Cornwallis Dr.. I take exception to a personal example implemented by a twp. official to make a useless point at my family's expense. I requested the commission come to Wineberry Lane and LOOK at the impact this proposal would have on the community. ("Character of the Neighborhood"). I also suggested a "hook and Ladder" be sent to Wineberry to ascertain access. At this Mr. Angelini's representative looked at Mark Gordon and said he would call the Fire Marshall. Isn't this a Twp. responsibility?

Fire Marshall and Hook and Ladder

I was home the days the fire Marshall and the hook and ladder arrived. The Fire Marshall expressed his consternation with the destruction of the land when a WATER LINE would better serve the community.

Hook and Ladder: When the H&L arrived I was home. There is NO ROOM for anything but the H&L on the street. (Photo)

More than this was a near accident upon my daughter attempting to exit our driveway on Wineberry. Shouting ensued between me and operators of the H&L to avoid and accident. If this is the case in a non-emergency what is the outcome under the proposal? This is NOT SAFE!

We ask that the Twp. extend the community the respect to come to Wineberry Lane to look, not only at the one directional thinking of the proposal, but at Wineberry lane from our perspective. This is, a sloping HIGH to LOW drop from Route 352, that is compounded by a BLIND CURVE as you descend the CUL-De-SAC. Observe from our driveway at 1594 and it becomes very clear. The circle is also a "hollow" that misrepresents sound. Sound travels as to deceive its location. Confusing one to its source. This proposal is a recipe for disaster to the residence of Wineberry Lane. How does the Twp endorse a plan that jeopardizes the well being of the people in a neighborhood?

Zoning: When I first observed the proposal I knew from experience that there is not enough land to implement the concept. I thought the Planning Commission would see this but obviously not. I expressed my disbelief for the Quid-Pro-Quo logic behind it. We were told that more "discussion" was needed for this to advance. Besides pillaging the zoning laws I am appalled that The Twp. would approve the destruction of trees and vegetation that we have nurtured, some of us for 30+ years. "Wacking" is not an acceptable term when referring to someone's property; especially when used by a Twp. official. We have all abided by the laws that govern our land; this must be the case now more than ever. To allow this proposal because a few people think it is a good idea at the expense to the residence of Wineberry Lane defies logic and shows nothing but contempt for our rights as residence and citizens.

The final injustice in this proposal is the implementation of the easement. The emergency only concept will be undermined by the repeated dumpsters and work trucks that will descend and ascend the cul-de-sac. These monsters will use Winebery as the cut -through to build two properties on land that is designed and zoned for one. This will surely result in an accident at the blind curve or in the circle of the neighborhood.

The destruction to the people, trees and landscape will forever mar this place we call home. Do what is just and fair and stop this.

Joseph Mussari

Tina Mussari 9-28-10

I'd like to thank the Board of Supervisors for this opportunity to address the possible building & road development on Wineberry Lane. I oppose the Angelini/Wineberry Lane Project.

My husband and I purchased our home 21 years ago because it was on a cul-de-sac. We had an opportunity to live in Pin Oak Farms but we knew our children, as well as our grandchildren, would be safe on Wineberry Lane. God willing, this was a home we could stay in for as long as possible. Mr. Angelini's project threatens the very reason we purchased our home. For years, he has asked us, as well as neighbors on Cornwallis Drive, "wouldn't you like to see a cut through street off Wineberry?" We did not buy on this street to see it become a cut-through nor is it set up to be a cut through. It's now obvious, this has been Mr. Angelini's true intentions all along by initially offering the guise of an emergency access. At some point, this emergency egress will be a street. I was told by members of the Planning Commission that this would not happen do to the legal wording that would be in place. I respectfully ask, if Mr. Angelini will be allowed to skirt multiple zoning infractions because of a "I'll help you-you help me" deal with the township, how are we to trust the township's word that this will not repeat itself in the future and this emergency route will indeed become Mr. Angelini's dream road? We have a traffic problem now with late night cars speeding down the street, assuming Wineberry is a cut through. In early summer, Office Steve Wassell was contacted and advised. The blind bend of the road, the growth of large pines & knowing Wineberry, being below street level, freezes before Rte. 352, all makes this an unsafe road for anything more than light residential traffic.

Our society had rules and laws that we all must abide by. We put in an inground pool last year for our family. Anthony Sylvan Pools and we, had to abide by East Goshen's zoning laws. As Mike Merwin stressed at that time, "we are here to protect you." It was comforting knowing our township laws protected us from any improper conduct by Anthony Sylvan contractors. Angelini's project violates the same book of laws. Up to this point, the township is no longer offering us protection it did a year ago, by enforcing the laws that the rest of us live by. No, we can't offer the township, as Mr. Angelini has, a free emergency road but we are tax paying citizens of this township who deserve to be protected by those very laws. We were told by Rick Smith, that we must think of the project as helping those on Cornwallis Drive. A township official asked me, "what if it was your mother that needed help?" For me, a very hurtful, unprofessional suggestion as my mother has sadly passed. We are the only ones who face property devaluation brought on by the project and the project doesn't even benefit us yet we are being asked to sacrifice the most. Laws are in place to serve as guidelines, offer consistency and provide fairness to all. Not just those who give back freebies to the township and want their way.

The issue of safety and necessity must be raised. I ask all of you to please visit our street. Stand in our cul-de-sac as I drive down Wineberry Lane. You will see, we have limited visibility of cars coming down our street due to the roads bend & the over grown pines that have created a canopy blocking the view. Mr. Gordon told me those

pines would have to be "whacked". Mr. Bitner, the owner of the pines, is in ill health and is currently in a skill living facility. He cannot defend himself, let alone, his pines. Over the 21 years we have lived here, we have had quite a few near misses with our neighbors but we know to go very slow and be aware. Any emergency vehicles would present a challenge to residents leaving or entering Wineberry and catastrophic if the egress did indeed become a street. Also, being in a hollow, sounds travel differently. We can't tell if emergency sirens are going past Wineberry or entering Wineberry and would not know until sight was made of the responding vehicle. Keeping the emergency scenario which was posed to me, say there is an emergency on Cornwallis Drive and Rt. 352 is blocked as it was, last winter. Assuming trucks would dispatch from Greenhill Rd station, responding trucks would take Greenhill to Rt. 352 then divert slowly (I would hope), down Wineberry, down the resident's driveway to the emergency egress, stop the truck, fumble for keys, unlock the gate, push away the chains and continue to Cornwallis Drive and to the location of the fire/emergency. Wouldn't it be more sensible and time efficient for emergency personnel to take Boot Rd to Paoli Pike, Rt. 352 to Colonial then onto Cornwallis? In the 21 years that we have lived on Wineberry Lane, there has never been such catastrophe on Cornwallis that would necessitate this egress. I don't see the urgent need for this emergency egress. Home owners on Cornwallis Drive purchased on a 1 way street, knowing, it will always be one way street just as we purchased on a cul-de-sac! This was never an issue or a discussion till Mr. Angelini moved into the neighborhood.

We never had an issue with Mr. Angelini and often enjoyed road-side conversations. His daughter's previous residence is next to us. It has been vacant for 2 years so being a good neighbor, I would often call him if I saw something improper with the house. I defended him to his detractors....foolishly. With the exception of his desire to have a road cut through to Cornwallis from Wineberry, he never once told us of this project. He once discussed that he wanted all his children's properties linked together by road. I shrugged off his desire for a family compound, thinking, certainly, a gentleman or good neighbor would have discussed this project with the neighbors effected. His desire and goals to make Cornwallis Drive into his personal extended family Camelot is simply destroying our rights and violating our laws. I thank you for this opportunity to be heard.

Tina Muraut

I am unable to attend tonight's meeting because of another prior commitment. I would like to go on record as being against the subdivision of Mr. Angelini's property joining Cornwallis Dr. and Wineberry Lane via an easement to provide an emergency right-of-way. My opposition is not to the easement itself, rather to the additional two homes. In the 24 years I have lived on Cornwallis, the number of homes has increased from 36 to 61, with a couple of additional subdivisions approved which may soon have new homes built on them. I would have preferred that our street retained its large average lot size of 2-4 acres. But just as all the development until now was within the rights of the property owners, so is Mr. Angelini entitled to develop his property, as long as that development meets the zoning. So I don't expect that the plan will be stopped simply because it involves two additional homes on the street.

While the idea of an easement is not bad in and of itself, this seems to be a way to encourage the township to approve this plan. I have often heard suggestions from the township that the street would benefit if a connecting street was put through - in fact we were told that there was already a right-of-way that existed and went from Wineberry and thru 957 Cornwallis. So the township has wanted this in place for quite some time. But the idea that this is simply a means to an end that benefits only Mr. Angelini is bothersome. We have heard that bringing public water to our street is part of the 'deal' that is being brokered with the township. If others want public water, that is fine, but if this leads to a scenario when I am forced to purchase inferior public water instead of enjoying my free well water, I will be very upset.

In addition, the township is way behind in being concerned about meeting the needs of the residents of our street. If the township had not allowed the development to go on unabated, we would not have so many homes to justify the need for the easement. It took myself speaking up an earlier meeting, which was coincidentally regarding another property that Mr. Angelini wanted to develop in an unusual manner, that finally resulted in repairing the damage done to the street by all the construction vehicles, and restored the children at play and speed limit signs. Similarly, people have asked about why school buses don't come into our street. That issue is tossed back the residents as our responsibility – and technically it is between us and the school district, but I fail to see why the township cannot take the initiative to declare our street an unsafe walking route, or to put in sidewalks to make it safe. We don't ever see any kind of enforcement of the speed limit. These are examples of the professed concern for the well-being of the residents rings a bit hollow to me.

I would request that you turn down this plan.

Sincerely, Nancy Fay 951 Cornwallis Dr.

Rec'd 9-28-10

TREASURER'S REPORT 2010 RECEIPTS AND BILLS

GENERAL FUND

Real Estate Tax Earned Income Tax Local Service Tax Transfer Tax General Fund Interest Earned Total Other Revenue Total Receipts:	\$1,659.51 \$76,179.60 \$4,000.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$54,850.07 \$136,689.18	Accounts Payable Electronic Pmts: Health Insurance Credit Card Postage Debt Service Payroll Total Expenditures:	\$29,768.19 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$42,000.00 \$71,768.19
STATE FUND			
Interest Earned	\$0.00	Expenditures:	\$0.00
CAPITAL RESERVE			
Interest Earned	\$0.00	Expenditures:	\$0.00
TRANSPORTATION FUND			
Interest Earned	\$0.00	Expenditures:	\$0.00
SEWER OPERATING			
Receipts Interest Earned Total Sewer:	\$29,155.03 \$0.00 \$29,155.03	Accounts Payable Debt Service Total Expenditures:	\$4,501.86 \$0,00 \$4,501.86
REFUSE			
Receipts Interest Earned Total Refuse:	\$6,108.57 \$0.00 \$6,108.57	Expenditures	\$6,089.59