There were two hearings held during the October 17, 2017 East Goshen Township Board of Supervisors meeting. Transcripts of these hearings are attached to this document and can be viewed by clicking the links provided below.

- 1) Public Hearing to Consider the Conditional Use Application of SYNTHES USA HQ, INC. Pursuant to Section 240-31.C (3) (qq) of the East Goshen Zoning Ordinance
- 2) Public Hearing to Consider an Amendment to the Vehicles and Traffic of the East Goshen Township Code Pursuant to Chapter 225 Sections 225-17 and 225-54

1	BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS			
2	EAST GOSHEN TOWNSHIP			
3	CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA			
4				
5				
6	IN RE: Public Hearing to Consider) RECE ED			
7	the Conditional Use Application of) SYNTHES USA HQ, INC. Pursuant to) NOV 16 2017			
8	Section 240-31.C(3)(qq) of the) East Goshen Zoning Ordinance)			
9				
10	East Goshen Township Building 1580 Paoli Pike			
11	West Chester, Pennsylvania			
12	Tuesday, October 17, 2017 7:15 PM			
13	7:15 PM			
14	BEFORE THE BOARD			
15	CARMEN R. BATTAVIO, Acting Chairman			
16	JANET L. EMANUEL, Member CHARLES W. PROCTOR, III, Member			
17	MICHAEL LYNCH, Member			
18	APPEARANCES:			
19	BUCKLEY BRION McGUIRE & MORRIS By: KRISTIN S. CAMP, ESQUIRE on behalf of the Board of Supervisors			
20				
21	BRENDAN P. BURKE, LLC			
22	By: BRENDAN P. BURKE, ESQUIRE on behalf of the Applicant			
23				
24	ALSO PRESENT: LOUIS F. SMITH, JR., Township Manager			
25	Colleen M. Pimer Official Court Reporter			

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
-		TMDEV			**
1		INDEX			
2	WITNESS	DIRECT			
3	David F. Citro	8			
4	Kyle Turner	15			
5	Lisa Thomas	23			
6					
7	EXHIBITS		MARKED	ADMITTED	
8	B-1 (CU Applicati	ion & Attachments)	premarked	5	
9	B-2 (Proof of Pub	olication)	premarked	5	
10	B-3 (Affidavit of	f Posting)	premrked	5	
11	B-4 (List of Prop	perty Owners)	premarked	5	
12	B-5 (Twp Enginee)	9/15/17 Letter)	premarked	5	
13	B-6 (Twp Engineer	c 10/4/17 Letter)	premarked	5	
14	B-7 (Letter from	Mr. Gordon to BOS)	premarked	5	
15					
16	A-1 (CU Applicati	ion)	premarked	26	
17	A-2 (CU Plans)		premarked	26	
18	A-3 (Deed to Prop	perty)	premarked	26	
19	A-4 (Curriculum V	/itae of Citro)	premarked	26	
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
V) VII					

PROCEEDINGS

MR. BATTAVIO: We will now go to our first public hearing, which is Synthes U.S.A.

At this time I would like to turn the meeting over to our Solicitor, Kristin Camp. Kristin, good evening.

MS. CAMP: Thank you. I'm going to start by explaining the application and marking exhibits on behalf of the Board of Supervisors.

Applicant is Synthes U.S.A. HQ, Inc. They seek conditional use approval pursuant to Section 240-31.C(3)(qq) of the East Goshen Township Zoning Ordinance to allow an increase of impervious coverage from fifty-five percent to sixty percent on property located at 1303 Goshen Parkway, which is more specifically identified as Chester County Tax Parcel Number 53-3-1.12. That property consists of 8.63 acres. It's located in the I-1 Light Industrial District.

The applicant intends to add additional parking, egress pathways and a small building addition containing approximately 1200 square feet, which would take them to sixty percent impervious coverage.

Board exhibits that I would like to mark, which I passed out to the Board of Supervisors, are as follows:

Board Exhibit 1 is the Conditional Use Application, that was dated August 28, 2017, and any attachments that came with that application which I believe are the plan prepared

by Mainstay Engineering Group, identified as the conditional use plan, and also a landscape plan.

Board Exhibit 2 is proof of publication. Tonight's hearing was advertised in the Daily Local News on October 3rd and October 10th, 2017.

Board Exhibit 3 is an Affidavit of Posting. The Township Zoning Officer, Mark Gordon, posted the property on October 10, 2017. His Affidavit, as well as the Notice and photographs of the posting are all collectively B-3.

Board Exhibit 4 is a letter that Mr. Gordon sent to property owners within a thousand feet of the subject property. The letter was dated September 5th, 2017. I also have the list of property owners who received that notice.

Board Exhibit 5 is a letter from township engineer Nate Kline dated September 15th, 2017 addressed to Mr. Gordon.

Board Exhibit 6 is a more revised version of that letter. That letter is dated October 4th, 2017 from township engineer Nathan Kline to Mark Gordon.

Final Board exhibit is Board Exhibit 7 which is a letter from Mr. Gordon to Board of Supervisors explaining the Planning Commission's unanimous recommendation at their October 4th meeting. That letter is dated October 10, 2017.

The applicant is here represented by Mr. Brendan Burke.

Mr. Burke, do you have any objection to admission of 1 exhibits Board 1 through Board 7? 2 MR. BURKE: I do not, no. 3 (Exhibit Nos. B-1 through B-7, inclusive, entered 4 into evidence.) 5 MS. CAMP: Is there anybody in the audience that 6 wishes to be admitted as a party to this proceeding other 7 than obviously the applicant? That means you have the 8 opportunity to cross-examine applicant's witnesses, present your own evidence and appeal the decision of the Board. 10 Anybody seeking that status this evening? 11 Okay. Let the record reflect there is nobody in the 12 13 audience that wishes to be a party. With that, I will turn it over to applicant's 14 15 counsel to proceed with your case. MR. BURKE: Good evening, Members of the Board, Miss 16 17 Camp. As introduced I'm Brendan Burke. I'm counsel for the applicant. 18 19 I also have premarked some exhibits as well. I 20 believe Miss Camp has already distributed some of them. 21 first exhibit was the Conditional Use Application narrative 22 which you already have as a Board's exhibit. 23 Our conditional use plans will be put up. They are marked as A-2. We will have them on the board for you. 24

Only other sort of housekeeping issue I have with

regard to the hearing before we get into the meat of the matter is I do have, Miss Camp, a copy of the deed to the property that I have marked as Applicant's A-3 for purposes of standing. I think I have sufficient copies for everyone. So A-3 is a deed to the property with regard to applicant's standing.

As Miss Camp introduced the project, we are here and I will try to read it only once to avoid having everyone have to transcribe it so many times. Pursuant to Section 240-31.C(3) (qq) of the East Goshen Township Zoning Ordinance seeking to allow the increase in permissible impervious coverage up to sixty percent. As came up in Planning Commission this parcel was previously approved for fifty-five percent impervious coverage in its land development application in 2003, so although we're seeking an increase above that, it will be a fairly incremental one. They had already been approved at fifty-five percent.

The parcel consists of 8.63 acres. It's in the I-1 Light Industrial District.

The applicant is here seeking additional impervious due to some concerns that were raised by both workplace safety issues. Synthes is a member of the Johnson and Johnson family. They have very, very strict and I think very helpful workplace safety requirements that really keeps them in the forefront of safety. Some issues arose with regard to

ingress, egress for their employees and some minimal outdoor staging of things that are used in day-to-day operations of the business.

We will have three witnesses tonight, with the potential for a fourth. I don't know if that's necessary. We will have our project engineer Dave Citro from Mainstay Engineering. He will first be explaining A-2, our plans. He will be qualified hopefully as an expert witness.

Our second witness, Mr. Kyle Turner, is the new environmental health and safety officer for Synthes at this location. I believe he's going to be a fact witness. He may need to be qualified as an expert. I'm not sure.

And our other expert will be Lisa Thomas from Glackin Thomas Panzak. Pursuant to this section of the Ordinance a registered landscape architect is required to explain the plantings that have to be made to offset the increase in impervious coverage.

We also have with us this evening two other individuals, Mr. Wermuth (sic) who is the project coordinator for this project. He may be a source of additional information if needed. And Miss Patty Andolini (sic) who is here from Synthes.

With that, we can set up the plans as A-2.

MR. BATTAVIO: Mr. Burke, if I could very quickly, anybody that is going to speak on behalf of anything having

to do with the conditional use hearing, Colleen is our 1 recorder. She has to be accurate. So if you have a name 2 other than Smith please spell it. Talk slowly so that she 3 gets it all. Makes things a lot easier. 4 Sorry to interrupt. Thank you. 5 MR. BURKE: I'm going to pass around what has been 6 marked as Exhibit A-4 which is the curriculum vitae of Dave 8 Citro, P.E. DAVID F. CITRO 9 being duly sworn or affirmed was examined and testified as 10 follows: 11 12 MS. CAMP: I think, Board, I'm not familiar with Mr. Citro. Looking at his CV I'm comfortable with 13 recognizing him as an expert professional engineer -- is what 14 15 you're going to be asking? 16 MR. BURKE: Yes, I was. 17 MR. BATTAVIO: Members, are you okay? 18 MS. CAMP: Okay. Based on his credentials. 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BURKE: 20 21 Mr. Citro, are you the engineer who prepared and 22 sealed those plans marked as Exhibit A-2? 23 Α. I am. 24 Can you please give the Board a very brief overview 25 of existing conditions and structures on A-2.

- A-2 shows property located at 1303 Goshen Parkway. 1 It's currently a business industrial commercial site with a 2 building approximately 6200 square feet in footprint area, 3 parking areas, landscaping, parking islands, walkways and 4 loading area. 5 This site was known commonly as the Brandywine plant 6 of Synthes? 7 Α. That is correct. 8 As I said in my opening this lot had previously been 9 approved for impervious coverage in the amount of fifty-five 10 11 percent in 2003; is that correct? That is correct. 12 Α. Q. That's shown on the zoning table? 13 14 Α. Yes, that is correct. 15 What will make up the additional impervious coverage Ο. 16 on the plan above the fifty-five percent? 17 So we are proposing a small building addition, 18 approximately 1200 square feet in area, additional sidewalks, 19 including egress from rear of the building. We are proposing
 - some future improvements. $Q. \quad \text{What is the nature of those future improvements?} \\$

some equipment pads, staging pad, and we also are proposing

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Future improvements are additional walkways, as well as some parking areas. Both the improvements, proposed future improvements will include stormwater management

improvements.

- Q. You anticipated my next question. How would applicant handle stormwater management to accommodate the extra impervious coverage?
- A. Existing site is serviced by an existing detention basin located in the northeast corner of the property. We anticipate using that location for stormwater management, as well as underground stormwater management in the parking -- existing parking area, as well as future parking area.
- Q. Now of course we've been as a project team in front of the Planning Commission already. Supervisors have not had an opportunity to hear the evidence given there.

Have infiltration studies been performed that show applicant will be able to comply with the SALDO requirements for stormwater?

- A. Yes. We had three double ring interferometer tests performed and all three showed favorable results.
- Q. With regard to traffic, does the applicant anticipate there will be any additional trips or traffic generated by this increased impervious coverage?
- A. No. Applicant's representative will be able to explain further but we do not anticipate any additional trips to or from the site from what is currently out there now.
- Q. I think this is a fairly straightforward plan where we see additional sidewalks, building bump out, but I am

obligated to very briefly go through conditional use 1 standards set forth in Section 240-31.C and so I will ask you 2 in your professional opinion as an engineer in the 3 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania do you believe this use, that 4 being additional impervious coverage, is suitable for the 5 proposed location? 6 Α. Yes. Is there anything in this proposed use that in your Ο. opinion is detrimental to public health, safety or welfare? Α. No. 10

- Q. Is this proposed improvement in harmony with the character of the surrounding development?
- 13 A. Yes.

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Can you briefly explain to the Board what is the surrounding properties next to the Brandywine plant?
- A. Surrounding area is very much similar to what the existing site is. It's a business park, Goshen Parkway. All sites are developed in a similar fashion, parking areas, buildings, walkways.
- Q. To the one side is already owned by Synthes, the 1301 property?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. On the other side oriented north to east would be the CTDI building?
 - A. That's correct.

Q. Will there be any issues providing public services to this facility as a result of these improvements, such as water, sanitary sewer or emergency services and the like?

A. No.

Q. I'm going to hand you what has already been marked I believe as a Board exhibit but it's the most recent review

letter received from Pennoni dated October 4, 2017. That has

I believe review comments of the engineering staff on it.

MS. CAMP: It's also B-6.

BY MR. BURKE:

- Q. Without going through each and every one of these comments I will ask you a fairly summary question, which is had applicant already or will applicant be able to somehow demonstrate compliance with all conditions and comments set forth by Mr. Kline on behalf of the Township?
- A. Yes, we will demonstrate compliance with the comments in Mr. Kline's letter.
- Q. Reason I ask if you will be able in the future when we're asking conditional use approval tonight is is the applicant obligated and will the applicant appear for land development again before Planning Commission --
 - A. Yes and yes.
 - Q. -- Conservancy Board and Supervisors?
 - A. Yes and yes.
 - Q. As designed will this improvement or this use comply

with all applicable state, federal and local regulations? 1 Α. Yes. I mentioned and I questioned you briefly about Q. traffic. I'll point out Section 240-31.C(2) provides for 4 waiver of a traffic study if requested by the applicant. 5 Will applicant in land development be requesting a 6 waiver of a traffic study? 7 Yes, we will. We are requesting a waiver. Other than the aforementioned traffic waiver will applicant be able to comply in all other manners with 10 requirements of the Township Zoning Ordinance and Subdivision 11 and Land Development Ordinance? 12 13 Α. We believe so, yes. 14 MR. BURKE: Those are all the questions I've got for 15 Mr. Citro in my case in chief. Of course any questions he's 16 here to answer, available. 17 MS. CAMP: Any Board members have any questions? 18 MR. BATTAVIO: I have none. Janet? 19 MS. EMANUEL: No. 20 MR, BATTAVIO: Chuck? 21 MR. PROCTOR: No. 22 MR. LYNCH: I have one question. I thought I heard 23 within the presentation, Brendan, may have been from you, but when you mentioned the various improvements, 1200 square foot 24 outbuilding, side building, sidewalks, equipment pads, 25

storage pad, then you mentioned future improvements, 1 additional parking walkways. 2 Are they contemplated in this application with 3 regard to impervious surface? 4 THE WITNESS: They are all included, yes. 5 MR. LYNCH: That's all I have. 6 MS. CAMP: Next witness? 7 BY MR. BURKE: 8 One last question. You know what the question is. 0. 9 Are all the opinions and testimony you've given 10 within a reasonable degree of professional engineering 11 certainty? 12 Α. 13 Yes. MR. BURKE: Thank you, Mr. Citro. 14 MR. BATTAVIO: Are there any questions from the 15 16 public on what's been done so far? Thank you. 17 (Witness excused) 18 MR. BURKE: Applicant's next witness is Mr. Kyle 19 Turner. He's the company representative. He happens to 20 double as the environment health and safety officer. I don't 21 believe he will be giving any professional testimony by way 22 of opinion. To the extent he is I have his CV. We will 23 qualify him later. He can be sworn as a fact witness at this 24 point.

1

KYLE TURNER

2 3 being duly sworn or affirmed was examined and testified as follows:

4

DIRECT EXAMINATION

5

BY MR. BURKE:

6

Q. Mr. Turner, can you please give your job title and duties for the applicant?

A. Yes. I'm the environmental health and safety manager for Synthes in West Chester. My job is to make sure that we comply with all federal, state, local regulations. And I keep our employees safe and healthy same way they came

11

10

to work everyday.

12

13

14

O. I'm going to ask you some questions with regard to really the reason behind the request for impervious coverage on the site. I believe you will also be able to help answer Mr. Lynch's question, which was what's now, what is the future, why that is laid out that way, although all are encompassed in additional impervious increase.

15 16 17

18

19

20

The issues that are identified in the plan as being added are some pedestrian walkways, some additional parking, and some storage pad areas.

21 22

Can you give a brief description to the Supervisors as to what's necessitated these changes.

23

A. Yes. So the 1200 square foot addition on the back of the building is a finishing room upgrade. We were looking

24

to do some modifications to our finishing room, to make improvements. With that the International Building Code, International Fire Code comes into consideration, one hour fire rating. Our current room didn't meet requirements of the one hour fire rating. We're looking to construct a new area which requires validation for our manufacturing process. We want to construct that while the old process runs, then bring that up to running stage.

Off the back of that there is an egress sidewalk which we had a fire explosion assessment. It was Life Safety Code 101. You have to have a walkable path to egress to a public way. We currently do not have that in place. We cannot install it until we have approval for more impervious surface. With that we are looking to add some walkways around the facility due to pedestrian traffic that comes from our building at 1301, which is called the Tech Center.

Employees moving between the buildings tend to walk on the sidewalk but take the easy path through the grass to the front entrance instead of through the building, which for me is good because not all of them have appropriate PP on to leave the Tech Center, go through manufacturing area with safety shoes. Most of them don't have that.

Q. Couple quick questions. First one would be is this site, these facilities, are they inspected by township fire and other officials on a yearly or more frequent basis?

1 2

3

5 6

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

We have the fire department out once a year just Α. walk around the facility, look at our operations. We serve them dinner. We go over our fire plan with them to see -update, to preplan. We share with them hazards we have on the site so they are aware.

We are here also seeking some additional parking and can you describe for us some of the conditions that appear at perhaps change of shift or other reasons why some additional parking makes sense for this facility connected to the Tech Center?

Sure. We currently have two hundred and fifty-two parking spaces. Manufacturer plant has two hundred eighty-eight employees currently working at it. That doesn't include contractors that come on-site during the day to work on our equipment, calibrations on our equipment. Also the site's slowly evolving.

When Johnson and Johnson purchased the company we tend to move around a lot, whereas Synthes was more of a silowed business. Including my staff there was also employees in that building. Now my staff sits in a different building. When we have need we go to the building to perform work, so at any given time we can have three cars just with my staff alone that are added to that parking lot because we are at different meetings at different times. Similarly we have inspections -- internal inspections that go on with

Johnson and Johnson regulatory compliance. So as the business evolves we need to evolve our parking for these types of events where we have inspectors and engineers coming over to the building from research and development to look at the process, make changes.

- \mathbb{Q} . Will the proposed improvements set forth on A-2 help in your opinion with regard to the safety of pedestrians and cars coming in and out?
 - A. I believe so, yes.

Q. One of the comments we received in the Pennoni review letter addressed with regard to the outdoor storage area and I believe that came about -- I sort of prefaced it with comments with regard to insurance reasons.

Can you explain the reason why it's -- Synthes is going to have outdoor storage areas as part of this impervious improvement.

A. Yes. So I can clarify. We call it outdoor storage. What we are looking to do is it's a containment area, four walls and a roof for a type of storage. Once a year FM Global, Johnson and Johnson's insurance, comes into the site, they inspect our buildings, they make recommendations for improvements for safety of the building, also safety of employees that work there.

We currently store our cutting lubricating oils on a mezzanine in the loading dock area which is located right on

the end of the building here. Their recommendation, they said there is not enough containment for storage that's currently there. They recommended we improve storage of material. It's a combustible -- all of our liquids are combustible. They have a flash point of about three hundred seventy-five degree. They are not flammable. They are not explosive. But we want to move the storage of those drums outside in a FM rated storage unit. It will have fire suppression, anything else that's required, heating, cooling to keep oil at the appropriate temperature for manufacturing. But that will address recommendations made by FM Global for us.

- Q. Are those marked as hazardous material being staged or stored outside?
 - A. No.

- Q. For benefit of the Board or testimony for the hearing applicant has agreed, has it not, that we will appear again seeking permit for that work with Mr. Gordon to make sure it's in compliance with all township regulations?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. We mentioned future improvements, those that are being essentially constructed as soon as permission is granted.

Give a brief overview how that is staged, financing for it, why it's laid out as well as to ensure improvement.

A. Yes. So I'm sure most of you understand we don't like to put the cart before the horse. For us, if we go to ask for a million plus dollars to construct this finishing room we, of course, need approval of the Board for added impervious surface. That's why we're here today.

When we look at these projects there will be a phased approach to them of course. We're not going to do them all at once. We have asked for a million dollars next year for the finishing room and that's for the concept and design phase. We will probably look at the highest project, egress walkway and storage containment unit, some of the walkways and parking as phase one. Then phase two we will start to look to add those pads for potential chillers that will support the finishing room when it's constructed for comfort cooling.

- Q. The applicant intends to construct the improvements if approved as depicted on the plan?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. I believe I only have one or two more. Again just confirming the applicant will appear again for land development for approval of these plans prior to construction; is that correct?
- A. Yes. We will follow all Township regulations.

 MR. BURKE: I don't have any further questions for

 Mr. Turner at this point.

```
MR. BATTAVIO: I have a question, Mr. Turner. On the
1
    proposed new building is there going to be external access if
2
    needed by the fire department or will everything be internal
3
    from your working area?
              THE WITNESS: There will be egress added to the back
 5
    of -- you're talking about the finishing room?
6
             MR. BATTAVIO: Yes, deliveries also for --
7
              THE WITNESS: Not for deliveries. Deliveries would
8
    remain -- would still come to the loading dock area.
 9
             MR. BATTAVIO: If need be, fire department would have
10
    access to get into that building without going through your
11
     processing room?
12
       THE WITNESS: Yes.
13
              MR. BATTAVIO: Will that be accessible with a Knox
14
     Box if it's after hours?
15
              Do you have security -- you have security on-site
16
17
     24-7 I believe.
             THE WITNESS: We do. We do have a Knox Box.
18
19
              MR. BATTAVIO: Knox Box -- would be a Knox Box on
20
     that door I believe?
21
              THE WITNESS: Yes, we would add that. It's a
22
     requirement of the Township.
23
              MR. BATTAVIO: Thank you.
24
              MS. CAMP: So on the plan, the building addition
25
     1,196 square feet is what you're considering as finishing
```

room?

2

1

THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 4

MS. CAMP: Then the containment area which you say is not outdoor storage, will have four walls and a roof, where is that on the plan?

5 6

THE WITNESS: That is located (indicating).

MS. CAMP: What is it identified as?

8

9

10

77

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Northwest side. It's right -- if you see the finishing area, look to the left. It's called the drum storage pad, access use shed. We need to install a pad for the shed to sit on due to the weight of liquids sitting in there. But as I mentioned, the shed or storage unit itself is an FM approved storage unit for storage of the types of materials that will be in there, which is lubricating, cutting oils.

MS. CAMP: It's going to look like another building or structure? If I look at the site it's not just sitting out?

THE WITNESS: You won't see the drums. Limited access. Fire suppression system on the unit itself. We'll also be hooked up to the building's automated system for monitoring, for alarm.

MR. BURKE: Thank you.

(Witness excused)

MR. BURKE: Final witness is our landscape architect

which is Lisa Thomas. And I have premarked her CV as Applicant's 5. I apologize. Some came out of numerical order.

LISA THOMAS

being duly sworn or affirmed was examined and testified as follows:

MS. CAMP: I know the Board is familiar with Miss Thomas, will recognize her as an expert in landscape architecture.

MR. BURKE: As a requirement for this conditional use, because we are increasing impervious coverage we are required to have a registered landscape architect provide us with some replacement and additional plantings that are compliant with the Township Ordinance. Miss Thomas was kind enough to assist us with this project. As qualified she's an expert in this area.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BURKE:

Q. I would ask you, Miss Thomas, to briefly go over the landscape sheet of the plan that's there, explain to the Board what plantings are going to be there, if they will be compliant with the Township Ordinance.

MS. CAMP: Let me for record purposes this was also part of the application marked B-1, part of A-1 as well.

MR. BURKE: That's correct. Applicant's exhibit --

three pages to applicant's plan marked A-2. I think this is second or third page.

THE WITNESS: The site is currently very nicely landscaped with mature shade trees, flowering trees, lot of shrubs. What we'll be doing is meeting the ordinances and the codes by addressing parking lot landscaping that's required based on increased impervious, which will be providing twenty-five additional evergreen and deciduous trees in total, fifteen additional shrubs based on square footage. We will also be providing replacement landscaping as we are having to remove some trees with the improvements, so we will have a total of fifty-eight trees proposed, shrubs on-site to complement the existing landscape.

Generally speaking the landscaping is mostly located in the southern side of the site along Goshen Parkway, then on the west side and sort of the southeast side along the property line to screen parking, to provide street scape landscaping. Then we have some landscaping in the rear related to sidewalks, improvements to the building in back. BY MR. BURKE:

Q. I think the plans are fairly self-explanatory with regard to plantings. Issue has been raised and I believe Solicitor has been made aware we are in the landing zone for Chester County Airport. We have sort of run into a bit of a legal I don't want to say conflict but there may be a

potential conflict with regard to FAA regulations with regard to height of trees or maximum height of trees in a landing zone and your ordinance with regard to height of trees that have to be planted as replacements, because you don't want to have two feet shrubs when you want screening. On the other hand we don't planes chopping off tops of trees in the landing zone.

MR. BATTAVIO: Thank you for that.

MR. BURKE: It was brought to my attention by Planning Commission. Very, very helpful. BY MR. BURKE:

- Q. Question I have for Miss Thomas, is the applicant willing and will the applicant work with the Township to demonstrate compliance with either FAA regulations or the Township Ordinance, whichever is decided jointly with the Township staff in reviewing landscape plans?
- A. Yes. We have already started to talk to Mark Gordon about that. We selected trees carefully in that area.
- Q. I don't have any other questions for Miss Thomas, other than the necessary have all opinions given today with reference been given with a degree of professional certainty?
 - A. Yes.

MR. BURKE: That's all the questions.

MS. CAMP: Anybody on the Board?

1

MR. BATTAVIO: No.

2

MR. LYNCH: No.

3 4

MS. EMANUEL: I just want to confirm you are going to be working with Conservancy Board as far as which varieties of trees and so forth are going to be planned?

5 6

7

THE WITNESS: Absolutely. We've already been before then. They have reviewed them. We'll probably end up going back.

8

9

MS. CAMP: Anybody in the audience have questions of Miss Thomas?

10 11

(Witness excused)

12

13

14

MR. BURKE: With exception of requesting that exhibits offered as applicant's exhibits be moved into evidence, applicant has no further evidence for its case in chief. And we do request conditional use approval pursuant to its application, plans, testimony given today.

15 16

> (Exhibit Nos. A-1 through A-4, inclusive, entered into evidence.)

18

19

20

17

MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Burke, for benefit of those members of the community in the audience who are not familiar with your client, could you give them a brief explanation what your client does.

21 22

23

24

25

MR. BURKE: I could. I would like to defer that to our company rep, Mr. Turner, to give a better idea of the operation of the Brandywine plant.

wild lies about the operation.

Johnson in pharmaceuticals.

site.

5

We make products that help patients get better when they break bones essentially. We also make products for head injuries, also for

Kyle, you're still under oath so you can't make up

MR. TURNER: I will do my best. So I've been with

So the site we are discussing is a medical device

We take stainless steel, titanium, aluminum stock, we

Synthes now for four years. I started with Johnson and

make therapeutic femur nails, screws, plates for broken

broken bone in your face, car accident or sports injury.

bones, so all trauma, CMF, which is your face, any type of

infants born with specific types of I don't want to call them injuries, but specific types of conditions. We have a rib product helps growth of ribs when they are malformed.

So our -- we are in the business of helping injured people.

MR. PROCTOR: Given the name, what you do -- lawyers always say no more questions, then say only one more, is it fair to say that your industry is highly regulated outside of local ordinance requirements and township regs?

MR. TURNER: Yes. We have FDA on-site this week checking us out.

MR. PROCTOR: Good. Thank you.

MR. BURKE: With that applicants rests.

.5

MS. CAMP: Thank you. Board members, obviously you know under the law if you want to you could take forty-five days to render a decision. I think the application was fairly presented. Planning Commission did a great job vetting the application, providing to you suggested conditions which are set forth in Board Exhibit 7.

If somebody on the Board was prepared to, it would be appropriate to make a motion this evening.

MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Chairman, I move that we approve the application of Synthes U.S.A. for conditional use to increase impervious coverage on their property at 1303 Goshen Parkway to sixty percent as shown on the plans titled Conditional Use Plan dated August 25th, 2017, last revised September 25th, 2017, and the landscape plan titled Conditional Use Landscape Plan dated August 25th, 2017, last revised September 25th, 2017, with the following conditions:

One. All outstanding comments from township engineer are addressed on the land development plan and to be adhered to.

Two. Applicant to return to the Conservancy Board during land development review process to present their landscape plan.

Three. Applicant adjusts the proposed plantings on the landscape plan in order to meet requirements of the

airport zoning for landscape plantings within the airport approach zone of the property.

Four. Applicant consider implementing a variety of best practice methods to deal with stormwater runoff from increased impervious coverage.

Five. Applicant shall screen the outdoor storage facility as required by Section 240-24.E(2) of the Zoning Ordinance of East Goshen Township with a fence that will conceal it from adjacent properties.

Six. Applicant shall follow all applicable federal, state, local laws and ordinances.

MS. EMANUEL: Second.

second.

staff?

MR. BATTAVIO: All right. There's a motion, a

Are there any further questions from the Board or

Are there any questions from the public?

Call for a vote. All in favor?

(All Members of the Board present indicating in the affirmative)

MR. BATTAVIO: Unanimous.

Mr. Burke, if I could on the record, now we're done, congratulations. In my opinion your business is highly valued in East Goshen Township. To best of my knowledge on behalf of the Board have been very friendly, nice neighbors

needs now that you're at your maximum impervious coverage, don't feel that we have now put up a wall and you're sort of stuck. You're a good neighbor. You work very well with us. I'm not going to say we'll give the store away but if you have any questions in the future, as neighbors we need to work together to keep you happy. We keep you happy, your employees are happy, we are happy because you're here as a tax base pure and simple.

So thank you on behalf of our Board. Good luck in your endeavor.

MR. BURKE: Thank you very much. I can't take any credit for it. We'll be sure to pass it along.

MR. BATTAVIO: Pass it along to powers to be.

MS. CAMP: I'll make one comment. As Miss Thomas knows, when the applicant comes as prepared as you are, with your counsel knowing ordinance requirements, it makes for a smooth nice hearing. We appreciate the time and effort you put into it. Thank you.

(Hearing concluded at 7:40 PM)

CERTIFICATE.

.0

. 1

I, Colleen M. Pimer, hereby certify that these proceedings are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me upon the hearing of the above matter and that this is a true and correct transcript of the same.

Colleen M. Pimer

Colleen M. Gimer

1	BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS		
2	EAST GOSHEN TOWNSHIP		
3	CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA		
4			
5			
6	IN RE: Public Hearing to Consider)		
7	an Amendment to the Vehicles and) Traffic of the East Goshen Township) NOV 16 2017		
8	Code Pursuant to Chapter 225 Sections) 225-17 and 225-54		
9			
10			
11	East Goshen Township Building		
12	1580 Paoli Pike West Chester, Pennsylvania		
13	Tuesday, October 17, 2017		
14	7:40 PM		
15	BEFORE THE BOARD		
16	CARMEN R. BATTAVIO, Acting Chairman JANET L. EMANUEL, Member		
17	CHARLES PROCTOR, III, Member MICHAEL LYNCH, Member		
18			
19	APPEARANCES:		
20	BUCKLEY BRION McGUIRE & MORRIS		
21	By: KRISTIN S. CAMP, ESQUIRE on behalf of the Board of Supervisors		
22			
23	ALSO PRESENT: LOUIS F. SMITH, JR., Township Manager		
24			
25	Colleen M. Pimer Official Court Reporter		

				2
1				
2	INDEX			
3				
4	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	MARKED	ADMITTED	
5	B-1 (Proof of Publication)	premarked	4	
6	B-2 (Letter to Law Library)	premarked	4	
7	B-3 (Letter to Diaily Local News)	premarked	4	
8	B-4 (Engineering Study)	premarked	4	
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

PROCEEDINGS

MR. BATTAVIO: We will go into the second public hearing which is to consider an amendment to the Vehicles and Traffic Chapter of the Township Code that would prohibit trucks on Hibbert Lane and Grist Mill Lane except for local deliveries.

I will turn it over to Kristin Camp, our Solicitor.

MS. CAMP: Thank you. At a prior Board meeting for the Preserve at Applebroke the Board entered into agreements. One of those agreements required the Township to perpetually maintain certain vehicle related signs on Hibbert Lane. This ordinance is as a result of that settlement agreement.

So for purposes of the record I would like to enter first Board Exhibit 1, Proof of Publication of tonight's hearing. This hearing was advertised in The Daily Local News on October 4th, 2017.

Board Exhibit 2 is a letter that I sent on October 3rd, 2017 to Chester County Law Library enclosing a copy of the ordinance for public inspection.

Board Exhibit 3 is a letter I sent to the Daily
Local News again dated October 3rd, 2017 with a copy of the
ordinance for public inspection.

Fourth exhibit is correspondence from McMahon

Transportation Engineers and Planners. It is dated September

28, 2017 addressed to the Township Manager. This was an

engineering study done to justify the signage that is 1 proposed. 2 (Exhibit Nos. B-1 through B-4, inclusive, entered 3 into evidence.) MS. CAMP: The Ordinance would amend Chapter 225 5 of the East Goshen Township Code which is titled Vehicles and 6 Traffic. It would allow there to be signs erected and 7 enforced to prohibit trucks on Hibbert Lane except vehicles 8 may be operated for the purpose of local deliveries, as well 9 as on Grist Mill Lane. 10 That's the ordinance in a nutshell. Happy to answer 11 12 any questions. MR. BATTAVIO: Okay, Kristin. Thank you very much. 13 Do we have any questions from our Board members? 14 This was a very long and tedious process. 15 Do we have any questions from the public? 16 17 Do I hear a motion? MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Chairman, I move that we adopt the 18 amendment to the ordinance amending Chapter 225 of the East 19 20 Goshen Township Code titled Vehicles and Traffic, specifically Sections 225-17 and 225-54. 21 22 MR. BATTAVIO: Is there a second? 23 MR. LYNCH: I will second that. 24 MR. BATTAVIO: Motion and second.

Now any questions, since I was out of order?

1	Anything from the Board?
2	Anything from the public?
3	Call for a vote: All in favor?
4	(All Members of the Board present responding in the
5	affirmative).
6	MR. BATTAVIO: That will close our hearings. Thank
7	you.
8	(Hearing concluded at 7:46 PM
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Colleen M. Pimer, hereby certify that these proceedings are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me upon the hearing of the above matter and that this is a true and correct transcript of the same.

Colleen M. Giner

Colleen M. Pimer